UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/648,158	08/25/2003	Kenneth MC Cheung	V0690.0008/P008	3550	
	7590 05/16/2007 Charles E. M DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP			EXAMINER		
				SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
		41st Floor 1177 Avenue of the Americas			PAPER NUMBER	
	New York, NY 10036-2714			3733		
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				05/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,158	CHEUNG ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Richard R. Shaffer	3733	

Delote the filling of an Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	}				
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733	i I				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	 ress				
 THE REPLY FILED <u>07 May 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP		•					
The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as				
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection			ecause				
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel 		i E below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.					
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.			•				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	, ,,	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	` ,				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>20-22,28,29 and 32-45</u> .							
'Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filinentered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary. 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.				
1. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
2. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
		Qieha- 1-	Qalle.				
· ·	MARCO A POPERT	Richard Shaffer	- 70				
EDUAPTO A. ROBERT May 14 th , 2007							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations to the claims change the scope of the claims and thus require additiona consideration and/or search.